

In the United States Court of Federal Claims

No. 98-488C

Filed: March 31, 2006

TO BE PUBLISHED

SACRAMENTO MUNICIPAL UTILITY
DISTRICT,

Plaintiff,

v.

THE UNITED STATES,

Defendant.

ORDER REQUESTING SUPPLEMENTAL EXPERT TESTIMONY

Consistent with the March 31, 2006 Memorandum Opinion and Order issued, Plaintiff is ordered to submit Supplemental Direct Testimony from Mr. Brian P. Brinig, Brinig & Company, Inc., in the format of five tables and any supporting explanation:

1. Table A – a Summary of Costs by the categories listed in PX 1000 ¶ 20,¹ for each of the following periods: January 1, 1992 through May 14, 1997; May 15, 1997 through May 31, 1997; June 1, 1997 through October 31, 1999; and November 1, 1999 through December 31, 2003.
2. Table B – including cost data from Table A, minus any costs attributable to the “dual-purpose” transportable features of the dry storage system.
3. Table C – including cost data from Table B, minus any costs attributable to a contract, lease, or other legal obligations executed by Plaintiff prior to May 15, 1997.
4. Table D – including cost data from Table C, minus any costs attributable to one-twenty-second of the cost to construct the ISFSI.
5. Table E – including cost data from Table D, minus Packaging Technologies and Vectra charges attributable to on-site drop testing.

¹ The “Labor” category may include costs attributable to the 16 employees who charged the majority of their time to the “dual-purpose” dry storage project. An “Indirect Labor” category should not be included.

The court will convene a telephone conference **on April 10, 2006 at 2:00 p.m. E.D.T.** to discuss implementation of this Order, including the Government's oversight and verification of the data reported in the Tables.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/SUSAN G. BRADEN
Judge